



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/798,756	03/11/2004	George LaPallo	200309001-1	6403

22879 7590 11/21/2005

HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION
FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400

EXAMINER

TRAN, CONGVAN

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2688

DATE MAILED: 11/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/798,756

Applicant(s)

LAPALLO, GEORGE

Examiner

CongVan Tran

Art Unit

2688

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 March 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-48 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-3, 6, 7, 10, 12-18, 19-21, 23-24, 26-28, 31-32, 35-38, and 40-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Raith (6,885,869).

Regarding claim 1, 6, 10, 13, 15, 17, 19-21, 23-24, 26-28, 31-32, 35-38, Raith discloses a method for mating a mobile terminal with a cordless phone comprising: a landline communication link (see fig.1, element 204, 300 and its description); a wireless communication link (see fig.1, element 20, 112 and its description); and wherein the connection device includes a processor, a memory, and program instructions provided to the memory and executable by the processor to: control switching a communication connection for a mobile communication handset between the landline communication link and the wireless communication link (see fig.1, element 20, 204, fig.3, elements 222, 224, 226 and its description); and transmit address and contact information from the mobile communication handset to a landline handset when the mobile communication handset is connected to the device (see abstract, fig.1, element 20, 204, col.1, lines 7-10, col.3, lines 11-17 and its description).

Regarding claims 2-3, 7, 12, 14, 16, 18, 40-47, Raith further discloses further including program instructions which execute to automatically transmit mobile calls to the landline handset when the mobile communication handset is connected to the device (see abstract, fig.1, element 20, 204, col.3, lines 11-17 and its description).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 4-5, 8-9, 11, 22, 25, 29-30, 33-34, 39, and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over by Raith (6,885,869) in view of Sandhu et al. (6,867,733).

Regarding claims 4, 8, 25, the device further includes: a display; a function key; and a battery charger to charge a battery on the mobile communication handset (its is inherent and well known in base station unit in DECT)

Regarding claims 5, 9, 22, 29-30, 48, Raith discloses all the subject matters described in rejected claim 1, except for, the wireless communication link includes a connection to a mobile telecommunications network selected from the group of a CDMA based network and a GSM based network. However, Sandhu discloses a method and system for a plurality of mobile units to locate one another including a connection to a mobile telecommunications network selected from the group of a CDMA based network and a GSM based network (see col.9, claim 8). Thus, it would have been obvious to one

Art Unit: 2688

having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the Sandhu's system in Raith's invention in order to provide the wireless handset device an option to use the different networks.

Regarding claims 11, 33-34, and 39, the Examiner takes Official notice that these features of mobile communication handset is notoriously well known in the art in order to improve the use of the mobile communication handset.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CongVan Tran whose telephone number is 571-272-7871. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, George Eng can be reached on 571-272-7495. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


CONGVAN TRAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

CongVan Tran
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2688

Nov. 03, 2005.